

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/081,556	02/20/2002	David W. Andrews	2322-0495	4278	
20350	7590 02/08/2005		EXAMINER		
	ND AND TOWNSEND	BORISSOV, IGOR N			
	TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRAN	ISCO, CA 94111-3834		3629		
			DATE MAILED: 02/08/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_				•			
Λ)		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/081,556	ANDREWS, DAVID W.				
1	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	and the second s	Igor Borissov	3629				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 03	MONTH(S) FROM				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOns of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pare to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by safely received by the Office later than three months after the need patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tatute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	15 November 2004.					
• =	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)[,—						
	closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I). 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 19-39 is/are pending in the applic	ation.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>19-39</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Exan	miner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121((d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have beer reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage				
Attachmen							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
	e of Draπsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:	.				

Art Unit: 3629

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Amendment received on 11/15/2004 is acknowledged and entered. Claims 1-18 have previously been canceled. New claim 39 has been added. Claims 19-39 are currently pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 19-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kikuchi (EP 0 911 762 A2) in view of Tracy et al. (US 6,550,672) (Tracy).

Kikuchi teaches a method and system for providing services related to access to mass transit devices, including:

Claims 19, 28, 36 and 39,

providing a smart card having a plurality of fares stored therein (C. 4, L. 16-20); receiving (downloading) by a controller of an automatic ticket inspection machine (device) information tables, said tables including accumulation of distance traveled, fares, and number of travels over months including a start date and an end date (Figs. 3, 4; C. 7, L. 1-5);

reading said information regarding accumulation of fare transaction from the smart card by means of a smart card reader (C. 5, L. 17-21; C. 7, L. 3-7), said information further comprising accumulated service points corresponding to the traveled distance or the fare (C. 7, L. 55-57);

Art Unit: 3629

calculating (by the controller) a reduction to be added to the stored on the smart card value balance (awarding the best fare) based on said accumulated information (C. 7, L. 30-34).

Kikuchi does not specifically teach that said information tables are downloaded from the memory of the central computer.

Tracy teaches a method and system for communicating information between a central/facility controller and a portable shopping terminal, including: the central/facility controller having a database comprising a *price lookup table*, a remote self-checkout controller, and a card reader electrically coupled to said self-checkout controller, wherein a price component for a selected by a customer product is communicated (*downloaded*) from said *price lookup table* from the central/facility controller to the self-checkout controller (C. 18, L. 18-21).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kikuchi to include that said information tables are downloaded from the memory of the central computer, as disclosed in Tracy, because it would advantageously enhance the reliability of the system by delegating the processing of said fares to the automatic ticket inspection machines, thereby avoiding dependence of said automatic ticket inspection machines on the central computer.

Furthermore, Kikuchi teaches:

Claims 20 and 30, said method and system wherein said mass transit device is a railway gates (Fig. 1, items (101) and (102); C. 1, L. 5-6; C. 3, L. 20-21).

Claims 21 and 31, said method and system wherein said information tables are rail gate price point table (Figs. 3, 4; C. 3, L. 20-21).

Claims 22 and 32, said method and system wherein said information tables are readable (shared) by a plurality of automatic ticket inspection machines (Figs. 3, 4; C. 3, L. 20-21).

Claims 23 and 33, said method and system wherein said accumulated data over a month or a year obviously indicates a start date and an end date (C. 7, L. 42, 55-57).

Claims 24 and 34, said method and system wherein said accumulated data over a month or a year obviously indicates a maximum number of days (C. 7, L. 42, 55-57).

Art Unit: 3629

Information as to maximum number of days is a multiple of seven days is non-functional language and given no patentable weight. Non-functional descriptive material cannot render non-obvious an invention that would otherwise have been obvious. See: In re Gulack 703 F.2d 1381, 1385, 217 USPQ 401, 404 (Fed. Cir. 1983) In re Dembiczak 175 F.3d 994, 1000, 50 USPQ2d 1614, 1618 (Fed. Cir. 1999). The specific example of non-functional descriptive material is provided in MPEP 2106, Section VI: (example 3) a process that differs from the prior art only with respect to non-functional descriptive material that cannot alter how the process steps are to be performed.

Claims 25 and 35, said method and system wherein said the smart card stores fare transaction information monthly (February includes 28 days) (C. 7, L. 42, 55-57).

Claims 26, 27, 29 and 38, see reasoning applied to claims 19, 28 and 36.

Claim 37, said system, wherein said accumulated data over a month or a year obviously indicates a start date, an end date and a maximum number of days (C. 7, L. 42, 55-57).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments in respect to claims 19-39 were fully considered but are most in view of new ground of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Igor Borissov at telephone number (703) 305-4649.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 872-9306.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisor, John Weiss, can be reached at (703) 308-2702.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Art Unit: 3629

Washington D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306

[Official communications; including After Final

communications labeled "Box AF"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 7th floor receptionist.

Igor Borissov Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3629

ΙB

2/6/2005